

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

ISRAEL RONDON,)	CASE NO. 1:13 CV 1676
)	
)	JUDGE SARA LIOI
PLAINTIFF,)	
)	
)	
vs.)	MEMORANDUM OF OPINION
)	AND ORDER
)	
ILLEGAL AFRICAN NEGROES,)	
)	
)	
DEFENDANTS.)	

On August 2, 2013, *pro se* plaintiff Israel Rondon filed this action against the “Illegal African Negroes,” whom he claims illegally immigrated to “the U.S.A.[,] Ohio[,], West[,], South Cleveland[,], Middleburg Heights[,], Brookpark[,], Parma[,], Parma Heights[,], [and] Seven Hills[.]” (Doc. No. 1 at 2.) The complaint is rambling and incoherent, but it appears plaintiff is seeking an order removing defendants from the identified communities.

A cause of action fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted when it lacks “plausibility in the complaint.” *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 564 (2007). A pleading must contain a “short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief.” *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 677-78 (2009). The factual allegations in the pleading must be sufficient to raise the right to relief above the speculative level on the assumption that all the allegations in the complaint are true. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555. The plaintiff is not required to include detailed factual allegations, but must provide more than “an

unadorned, the-defendant-unlawfully-harmed-me accusation.” *Iqbal* , 556 U.S. at 678. A pleading that offers legal conclusions or a simple recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not meet this pleading standard. *Id.*

Principles requiring generous construction of *pro se* pleadings are not without limits. *Beaudett v. City of Hampton*, 775 F.2d 1274, 1277 (4th Cir. 1985). Even given the most liberal construction, the Complaint does not contain allegations remotely suggesting Plaintiff might have a valid federal claim, or even that there is a reasonable basis for this Court’s jurisdiction. This case is therefore appropriately subject to summary dismissal. *Apple v. Glenn*, 183 F.3d 477 (6th Cir. 1999); *see, Hagans v. Lavine*, 415 U.S. 528, 536-37 (1974) (citing numerous Supreme Court cases for the proposition that attenuated or unsubstantial claims divest the district court of jurisdiction); *see also, In re Bendectin Litig.*, 857 F.2d 290, 300 (6th Cir. 1988) (recognizing that federal question jurisdiction is divested by unsubstantial claims).

Accordingly, this action is **DISMISSED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 18, 2013



HONORABLE SARA LIOI
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE